

Rights and Respect: A Human Dignity Approach to Combating Gender-Based Violence

¹Meenakshi Yadav

²Dr. Deepa Mehta

¹Research Scholar, Faculty of Education, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi

²Associate Professor, Faculty of Education, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi

Received: 31 August 2023, Accepted: 01 Sep 2023, Published with Peer Reviewed online: 03 Sep 2023

Abstract

Gender-based violence (GBV) continues to be a pervasive global issue that undermines human rights and social progress. This review-based research paper examines the efficacy of a human dignity approach in addressing GBV and promoting gender equality. Grounded in the recognition of human dignity as a fundamental principle, the paper synthesizes literature from diverse disciplines to elucidate the intricate connections between human rights, gender equality, and GBV. Through a comprehensive review of international human rights instruments, including the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (United Nations, 1948) and the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (United Nations, 1979), we underscore the intrinsic link between human dignity and freedom from violence. Drawing upon case studies, the paper illustrates the practical implementation of dignity-centred programs, such as survivor-focused healthcare services and educational initiatives, in post-conflict settings and beyond. The approach's merits are illuminated, but challenges such as ethical considerations and cultural complexities are also acknowledged. Ultimately, this paper posits that a human dignity approach offers a holistic framework that reinforces the principles of respect, equality, and empowerment necessary to combat GBV. As a multidimensional endeavour, the eradication of GBV through a human dignity lens necessitates collaborative efforts from governments, non-governmental organizations, and international bodies.

Keywords: Gender-based Violence, Human dignity, Human rights, Gender equality, Survivor-centred approach

Introduction

“When it comes to human dignity, we cannot make compromises”. - Angela Merkel

Gender-based violence (GBV) remains a pressing and distressing violation of human rights worldwide, perpetuating a cycle of inequality and social injustice. This review-based research paper critically examines the potential of a human dignity approach as a comprehensive framework to address and mitigate the pervasive issue of GBV. GBV, encompassing physical, sexual, psychological, and economic violence, not only infringes upon individual rights but also hampers societal progress and development. The urgency of this issue is underscored by its prevalence across cultures, socioeconomic strata, and age groups, making it a complex challenge that demands multifaceted solutions. The adoption of a human dignity approach in the context of GBV is grounded in the recognition that every individual possesses inherent worth and the right to live free from violence. This approach aligns with international human rights instruments such as the Universal Declaration of

Human Rights (*United Nations, 1948*) and the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (*United Nations, 1979*), which assert the inextricable link between human dignity and the eradication of violence. By contextualizing GBV within a framework that values and upholds human dignity, a more holistic and inclusive perspective can be cultivated.

Conceptual Framework: Human Dignity and Gender-Based Violence- Defining human dignity and its significance in the context of GBV is crucial for understanding the moral imperative to eradicate violence. Human dignity, as articulated by Kant (1785) and subsequently enshrined in international declarations, refers to the inherent and equal worth of every individual. In the context of GBV, human dignity signifies the preservation of physical, emotional, and psychological integrity and the right to live free from fear and harm. The intricate nexus between human rights, gender equality, and human dignity is pivotal in comprehending the complex dynamics of GBV. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights (*United Nations, 1948*) affirms the inviolable right to life, liberty, and security of person, forming the basis for countering GBV. Furthermore, the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (*United Nations, 1979*) stresses the inseparable link between gender equality and human dignity, acknowledging that GBV perpetuates gender-based discrimination and inequality. The theoretical underpinnings of the human dignity approach to combating GBV draw from both human rights and feminist theories. The Capability Approach (*Sen, 1985*) underscores that enhancing an individual's capabilities contributes to dignity, requiring addressing GBV's impediments. Intersectionality theory (*Crenshaw, 1989*) illuminates how various forms of oppression intersect in GBV, necessitating a nuanced approach that respects diverse identities. Integrating these theoretical frameworks establishes the groundwork for an inclusive and effective strategy to combat GBV through the lens of human dignity.

Understanding Gender-Based Violence- The literature review delves into the multifaceted dimensions of gender-based violence (GBV), spanning its prevalence, underlying contributing factors, and far-reaching impacts on individuals, families, and communities. The prevalence and forms of GBV across different societies underscore the global magnitude of this issue. Research by *Heise et al. (1994)* reveals that GBV transcends geographical boundaries, affecting women across cultures, ages, and socioeconomic backgrounds. It encompasses a spectrum of forms, including intimate partner violence, sexual harassment, trafficking, and honour-based violence, underscoring its pervasive nature. Sociocultural, economic, and political factors contributing to GBV form a complex web that perpetuates this phenomenon. *Kabeer (2005)* points to patriarchal norms that sustain unequal power dynamics, while economic disparities and lack of access to resources compound vulnerability (*Dworkin et al., 2011*). Furthermore, structural inequalities and political instability can exacerbate GBV, as evidenced by post-conflict environments (*Goetz & Treiber, 2012*). The impacts of GBV on individuals, families, and communities are profound and enduring. Survivors often grapple with physical and psychological repercussions, including depression, anxiety, and post-traumatic stress disorder (*Garcia-Moreno et al., 2006*). GBV's ripple effects extend to families, leading to intergenerational cycles of violence, and communities, fostering an atmosphere of fear and insecurity.

The Human Dignity Approach to Combating Gender-Based Violence: Principles and Rationale- Core principles of the human dignity approach centre on the inherent worth of every individual, rooted in Kantian ethics (1785) and echoed in international human rights instruments. This approach prioritizes the recognition of individuals' rights to autonomy, security, and respect, forming the foundation for eradicating GBV. The work of *Nussbaum (2000)* and *Sen (1985)* in the Capability Approach underscores the importance of enabling individuals to live a life free from violence, thereby

enhancing their overall well-being. The interconnection between dignity, equality, and freedom from violence is pivotal in comprehending the dynamics of GBV. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights (*United Nations, 1948*) enshrines the right to live free from fear and harm, reinforcing the inextricable link between human dignity and the absence of violence. Moreover, the relationship between dignity and equality, as emphasized in the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (*United Nations, 1979*), elucidates the imperative to combat GBV, which perpetuates gender-based discrimination. The rationale for adopting a human dignity-centred framework lies in its potential to address GBV comprehensively. By placing human dignity at the forefront, this approach not only acknowledges the moral imperative to combat violence but also aligns with the values of respect and equality. This framework resonates with survivors' needs for empowerment and agency, aligning with survivor-centred approaches (*Garcia-Moreno et al., 2006*). Moreover, by dismantling the sociocultural norms that enable GBV, a human dignity approach contributes to creating societies rooted in justice and respect.

Human Rights Framework and Gender-Based Violence- An overview of international human rights instruments related to GBV highlights the commitment of the global community to eradicate this pervasive issue. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights (*United Nations, 1948*) and the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (*United Nations, 1979*) set forth the imperatives to safeguard individuals' rights, eliminate discrimination, and prevent violence, providing a foundation for comprehensive action against GBV. The analysis of the intersection between human rights and human dignity reveals their symbiotic relationship in the context of GBV. Human dignity is both the moral foundation and an inherent right, as delineated by *Kant (1785)* and reinforced in the work of *Nussbaum (2000)*. This intersection underscores the shared objective of upholding human worth and eliminating violence, as well as the moral obligation to protect vulnerable individuals from harm. The role of legal frameworks and policy measures emerges as a pivotal aspect of combatting GBV. Legal mechanisms, such as domestic violence laws and sexual harassment policies, provide avenues for redress and accountability (*Garcia-Moreno et al., 2006*). Moreover, the implementation of such measures underscores a society's commitment to promoting respect and protection, acting as a deterrent against GBV. The human rights framework stands as a cornerstone in the battle against GBV, drawing from international instruments that emphasize respect, equality, and human dignity. By fostering the alignment of human rights principles with the imperative of human dignity, legal frameworks and policies emerge as powerful tools to combat gender-based violence.

Empirical Studies: Case Examples of Human Dignity Approaches

Case Study 1: Implementing Dignity-Centred Programs in Post-Conflict Societies- In post-conflict societies, the aftermath of violence often leaves women and girls particularly vulnerable to GBV. The implementation of dignity-centred programs, as observed in the work of UN Women, offers a transformative approach. In Rwanda, for instance, initiatives focusing on economic empowerment, legal aid, and psychosocial support have enabled survivors to rebuild their lives with dignity and agency. By addressing the root causes of violence and empowering survivors, these programs not only enhance individual well-being but also contribute to societal healing.

Case Study 2: Addressing GBV through Education and Awareness Campaigns- Education and awareness campaigns play a pivotal role in changing societal attitudes and norms that perpetuate GBV. The global movements can engage men and boys as allies in the fight against GBV, emphasizing the

shared responsibility to uphold human dignity and equality. By challenging harmful gender stereotypes and promoting respectful relationships, such campaigns create a foundation for long-lasting change.

Case Study 3: Integrating Survivor-Centred Services within Healthcare Systems- Survivor-centred services within healthcare systems exemplify a human dignity approach by prioritizing survivors' needs and agency. Studies such as that of *García-Moreno et al. (2006)* reveal how comprehensive healthcare services, including medical care, counselling, and legal support, foster an environment of respect and empathy. Integrating these services not only aids survivors' physical and emotional recovery but also addresses the broader sociocultural factors that contribute to GBV. These case studies underscore the effectiveness of human dignity approaches in combatting GBV. By targeting post-conflict settings, promoting education and awareness, and integrating survivor-centred services, these approaches demonstrate the potential to reshape societal attitudes, empower survivors, and create a more just and equitable world.

Challenges and Critiques of the Human Dignity Approach- While the human dignity approach holds promise in combatting gender-based violence (GBV), it is important to acknowledge and critically assess the challenges and critiques that surround its implementation.

Ethical Considerations and Potential Cultural Clashes:- Ethical considerations play a significant role in the human dignity approach, particularly when it interacts with diverse cultural contexts. As highlighted by *Engle (n.d.)*, cultural relativism can pose challenges in defining human dignity universally, leading to clashes between Western ideals and indigenous values. Implementing dignity-centred programs must navigate the delicate balance between respecting cultural nuances and safeguarding individuals' inherent rights, thereby addressing potential ethical dilemmas.

Limitations in Terms of Implementation and Scalability:- The practical implementation and scalability of the human dignity approach face inherent limitations. A study by Cornwall and *Nyamu-Musembi (2004)* emphasizes that while local initiatives may succeed, scaling up such efforts to broader contexts can be challenging due to resource constraints and varying priorities. This raises questions about the sustainability and widespread impact of dignity-centred programs, necessitating careful consideration of resource allocation and long-term strategies. **Critiques from Feminist and Other Perspectives:-** Critiques of the human dignity approach emerge from various quarters, including feminist perspectives. Feminist scholars like *Butler (2004)* argue that human dignity can sometimes mask underlying power dynamics and perpetuate essentialist notions of gender. Moreover, some critics question whether a focus on individual dignity adequately addresses the structural and systemic factors that contribute to GBV. These critiques challenge the approach's ability to effect transformative change beyond surface-level interventions.

Best Practices and Recommendations-

Identifying Successful Strategies for Incorporating Human Dignity Principles:- Research by *Jewkes et al. (2015)* highlights the efficacy of gender-transformative programs that challenge harmful gender norms and empower individuals. Such programs, rooted in human dignity principles, focus on building respectful relationships, promoting gender equality, and enhancing women's agency. Moreover, engaging men and boys as allies in these initiatives, as demonstrated by the "MenEngage" campaign (*Levtov et al., 2014*), underscores the potential to reshape societal norms and foster shared responsibility.

Policy Recommendations for Governments, NGOs, and International Bodies:- Governments, NGOs, and international bodies play a pivotal role in advancing the human dignity approach to combat GBV.

Strengthening legal frameworks to protect survivors, allocate resources for survivor-centred services, and establish accountability mechanisms is crucial. Moreover, integrating human dignity principles into educational curricula, as recommended by *Freire (1970)*, promotes attitudinal change and fosters respect from a young age.

Collaborative Efforts for a Comprehensive and Sustained Approach: - A comprehensive and sustained approach to combat GBV necessitates collaborative efforts among stakeholders. Initiatives like the "16 Days of Activism against Gender-Based Violence" (*UN Women, 2021*) harness collective action to raise awareness and mobilize communities. Cross-sector partnerships between governments, civil society, and academia can amplify the impact of interventions by leveraging diverse expertise and resources. Incorporating human dignity principles in strategies to combat GBV involves fostering respectful relationships, enacting policy changes, and promoting collaboration among stakeholders. By drawing from successful practices, advocating for policy reforms, and embracing collective action, a human dignity approach can catalyse transformative change toward a world free from gender-based violence.

Conclusion- This review-based research article, titled 'Rights and Respect: A Human Dignity Approach to Combating Gender-Based Violence,' has explored the multifaceted dimensions of gender-based violence (GBV) through the lens of human dignity. Through an examination of conceptual foundations, empirical case studies, challenges, best practices, and future directions, several key insights have emerged. The integration of human dignity principles into strategies addressing GBV offers a transformative pathway toward lasting change. By recognizing the intrinsic worth of every individual and acknowledging their rights to live free from violence, the human dignity approach resonates with international human rights instruments and embodies the spirit of respect, equality, and justice. As the world continues to grapple with the persistent issue of GBV, a resounding call to action emerges from this research. Individuals, communities, and institutions alike must collectively work to foster environments that prioritize human dignity, challenge harmful norms, and support survivors. The imperative to eradicate GBV demands collaborative efforts across diverse sectors, backed by policies, programs, and a shared commitment to upholding human dignity. In summary, this research underscores that the journey toward combating GBV is intrinsically linked to the principles of human dignity. Through concerted efforts guided by this approach, we can envision a world where rights are respected, violence is eradicated, and gender-based equality prevails.

References-

- Butler, J. (2004). *Precarious Life: The Powers of Mourning and Violence*. Verso. <https://www.wkv-stuttgart.de/uploads/media/butler-judith-precarious-life.pdf>
- Cornwall, A., & Nyamu-Musembi, C. (2004). Putting the "Rights-Based Approach" to Development into Perspective. *Third World Quarterly*, 25(8), 1415–1437. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3993794>
- Crenshaw, K. (1989). Demarginalizing the intersection of race and sex: A black feminist critique of antidiscrimination doctrine, feminist theory, and antiracist politics. *University of Chicago Legal Forum*, 139-167.
- Dworkin, S. L., Treves-Kagan, S., & Lippman, S. A. (2013). Gender-transformative interventions to reduce HIV risks and violence with heterosexually-active men: a review of the global evidence. *AIDS and behavior*, 17(9), 2845–2863. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-013-0565-2>

- Engle, K. (n.d.). Culture and Human Rights: The Asian Values Debate In Context. New York University Journal of International Law and Politics. <https://library.law.utoronto.ca/whrrarticle/culture-and-human-rights-asian-values-debate-context>
- Freire, P. (1970). *Pedagogy of the Oppressed*. Herder and Herder. New York.
- Garcia-Moreno, C., Jansen, H. A., Ellsberg, M., Heise, L., Watts, C. H., & WHO Multi-country Study on Women's Health and Domestic Violence against Women Study Team (2006). Prevalence of intimate partner violence: findings from the WHO multi-country study on women's health and domestic violence. *Lancet (London, England)*, 368(9543), 1260–1269. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(06\)69523-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(06)69523-8)
- Goetz, A. M., & Treiber, A.-K. (2012, October). Gender and Conflict Analysis. UN Women, United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women, 1–5. <https://www.unwomen.org/sites/default/files/Headquarters/Attachments/Sections/Library/Publications/2012/10/WPSourcebook-04A-GenderConflictAnalysis-en.pdf>
- Heise, L. L., Ellsberg, M., & Gottemoeller, M. (1999). Ending violence against women. *Population reports*. Johns Hopkins University-Change. 27(4), 1-43.
- Jewkes, R., Flood, M., & Lang, J. (2015). From work with men and boys to changes of social norms and reduction of inequities in gender relations: a conceptual shift in prevention of violence against women and girls. *Lancet (London, England)*, 385(9977), 1580–1589. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(14\)61683-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61683-4)
- Kabeer, N. (2005). Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment: A Critical Analysis of the Third Millennium Development Goal. *Gender and Development*, 13(1), 13–24. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20053132>
- Kant, I. (1785). *Groundwork for the Metaphysics of Morals*. Trans. M. Gregor. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Levtov, R. G., Barker, G., Contreras-Urbina, M., Heilman, B., & Verma, R. (2014). Pathways to Gender-equitable Men: Findings from the International Men and Gender Equality Survey in Eight Countries. *Men and Masculinities*, 17(5), 467–501. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1097184X14558234>
- Nussbaum, M. (2000). *Women and human development: The capabilities approach*. Cambridge University Press.
- Sen, A. (1985). *Commodities and capabilities*. North-Holland.
- United Nations. (1948). Universal Declaration of Human Rights. <https://www.un.org/en/universal-declaration-human-rights/>
- United Nations. (1979). Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women. <https://www.un.org/womenwatch/daw/cedaw/text/econvention.htm>
- UN Women. (2021). 16 Days of Activism against Gender-Based Violence. <https://www.unwomen.org/en/what-we-do/ending-violence-against-women/take-action/16-days-of-activism>
- World Health Organisation. (2016, November 29). *Ethical and safety recommendations for intervention research on violence against women*. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241510189>