

## Capitalism, Desire, and Disillusionment in Mohsin Hamid's *The Reluctant Fundamentalist*

**Dr Isaac Ashish Kumar Ghosh<sup>1</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Associate Professor, Department of English, St John's College, Agra

Received: 20 Jan 2026, Accepted: 25 Jan 2026, Published with Peer Reviewed on line: 31 Jan 2026

### **Abstract**

Mohsin Hamid's *The Reluctant Fundamentalist* uses the geopolitical aftermath of 9/11. It does not rehearse familiar themes of terror. It also avoids a simple focus on cultural clash. Instead, it launches a deeper, systemic critique. This critique is of neoliberal capitalism. This paper argues a specific point. The novel operates as a searing examination. It examines how global capitalism functions. It functions not just as an economy, but as a culture. This culture engineers human desire. It commodifies personal identity. It promises inclusion through a meritocratic ideal. This ideal ultimately demands total allegiance. It also demands historical amnesia. The analysis uses a close reading. It focuses on the protagonist Changez's trajectory. His journey from assimilation into Wall Street's elite to a disillusioned return to Pakistan. This demonstrates how Hamid exposes the American Dream. He reveals its conditional nature. *The Reluctant Fundamentalist* also demonstrates the psychic dislocation inherent in globalization. Central to this critique are two key elements. The first is the allegorical figure of Erica. She embodies a melancholic America. She is also an inaccessible America. The second is the pivotal "janissary" epiphany. This revelation shows finance as an instrument. It is an instrument of neo-colonial power. Ultimately, the novel diagnoses a central crisis. This is the crisis of the global subject. The conflict is between two opposing valuations. One is the price assigned by the market. The other is the value ascribed to history, culture, and conscience.

**Keywords:** Post-9/11 literature, American Dream, Capitalism, Neoliberalism, Disillusionment

### **Introduction**

Post-9/11 literature frequently addresses overt themes. These themes include terror, security, and cultural clash. Mohsin Hamid's novel, *The Reluctant Fundamentalist* functions on a subtler plane. The novel uses a geopolitical rupture as a backdrop. This backdrop supports a deeper, systemic critique. **Anna Hartnell** argues that the novel "is not about 9/11 in any straightforward sense but instead uses the event as a lens through which to examine the longer history of U.S. hegemony and the neoliberal world order" (71). The story is more than an East-West conflict. It is a penetrating examination of the globalized economic system. This system both predates and fuels that conflict. The novel uses meticulous first-person narration. The narrator is Changez, a Pakistani man. He recounts his rapid ascent within America's elite financial world. He also recounts his subsequent disillusionment. **Caren Irr** observes that the monologue form "creates a **narrative of suspicion** that mirrors the U.S. security state's gaze upon the Muslim other, while simultaneously reversing it, forcing the American listener (and reader) into the position of the scrutinized object (456). Mohsin Hamid is a writer deeply engaged with globalization. He gives voice to complexities of identity. He also gives voice to disaffection. In this novel, he presents a sharp analysis. This analysis covers socio-economic conditions. It also covers the psychological effects of capitalism. **Peter Morey**, in a seminal article, states the novel "is less concerned with the clash of civilizations than with the **fundamentals of global capitalism** and the way they shape identities and destinies" (141). The protagonist is Changez. He navigates the harsh realities of American capitalism. This navigation occurs after the events of 9/11. This analysis

examines the novel's central themes. These themes are capitalism, yearning, and disaffection. Hamid deconstructs the attractive facade of the American Dream.

The American Dream is a clichéd notion of success, wealth, and upward mobility. This notion initially drew Changez to the United States. His early success was at Underwood Sampson. This organization was a high-stakes valuation firm. This success made him completely hooked on the system. **Caroline Herbert** writes, "Hamid exposes the **conditional nature of the American Dream**, which promises inclusion through economic success but ultimately reaffirms racial and national boundaries that cannot be crossed" (63). Changez rose to the top of his firm. His fascination with wealth was impossible to miss. This ascent was meteoric. Yet, it did not make him feel at home. The company was essentially made up of people who looked just like him. Changez's story is nuanced. It is more than a simple desire for money and fame. It is about a quest for existential meaning. He faces difficulties. These difficulties involve squaring his wants with his Pakistani heritage. **Amir Khadem** observes, "Changez's crisis is fundamentally an **ontological one**; the neoliberal self, hollowed out and performative, proves incapable of providing a coherent sense of being-in-the-world once the performance is challenged" (75). This elevates the idea of his "difficulties" to a crisis of being.

Hamid uses Changez's split identity to ask a question. How welcoming is the American Dream to people from different backgrounds? He constructs an allegory through American elite finance. This allegory illustrates the seductive power of neoliberal capitalism. It also illustrates its destructive power. However, this very process contains the seeds of its own negation. It inevitably breeds disillusionment. This disillusionment is as personal as it is political. In *The Reluctant Fundamentalist*, Hamid charts this trajectory with precision. He shows Changez's evolution. Changez begins as a "skin-deep" Pakistani patriot. He becomes a fervent believer in American meritocracy. He finally turns into a "reluctant fundamentalist." This figure's disillusionment stems from a recognition. He recognizes the dehumanizing fundamentals of the market itself. This leads him to seek a selfhood. This selfhood is defined outside of economic valuation.

Changez's initial journey is a textbook case of neoliberal assimilation. Arriving at Princeton on financial aid, he describes himself as a "tourist" in the elite American landscape, quickly learning that "to succeed... I needed to act like them" (Hamid 33). His desire is meticulously channeled by the system's promises. Prestige, wealth, and belonging are contingent upon his performance. He must perform as the ideal, self-enterprising subject. His success secures a coveted position at Underwood Samson. This is a high-powered valuation firm. This represents the apex of his assimilation. The firm's ethos is the purest distillation of capitalist logic. His mentor, Jim, explains their core principle. Jim states, "We are a meritocracy... We aim to hire the best and we pay them accordingly. And we evaluate them solely on their performance" (Hamid 44). This philosophy is called "the fundamentals." It involves reducing any entity to its core financial worth. The entity could be a magazine or a furniture manufacturer. This becomes Changez's new creed. His desire is now linked to mastering this reductive art of valuation. This link is inextricable. He takes "a kind of professional pleasure in determining a company's worth" (Hamid 99). This process requires suppressing personal sentiment. It requires favouring cold, numeric analysis. This internalization of market logic extends to his own identity. He performs Americanness as a strategic investment. This performance includes his wardrobe and his corporate demeanor. As political theorist Wendy Brown argues, under neoliberalism, "human beings become homo economicus... who approach everything as a capital investment" (40). Changez embodies this. He invests in his own human capital. He does this to accrue value within the system. His relationship with Erica further allegorizes this dynamic. She is a beautiful, enigmatic woman. She is haunted by her past. She represents the ultimate object of desire. She is beautiful, privileged, and unattainable. This is much like the American Dream itself. His pursuit of her mirrors his pursuit of professional belonging. Both pursuits require an erasure of his past self.

Lauren Berlant's concept of "cruel optimism" is pertinent here. It is defined as a relation where "something you desire is actually an obstacle to your flourishing" (Berlant 1). Changez's desire for Erica is precisely this. His desire for seamless integration into America is also this. It is a pleasurable attachment. This attachment ultimately erodes his sense of being.

In *The Reluctant Fundamentalist*, Mohsin Hamid meticulously charts an operation. Neoliberal capitalism operates not merely as an economic system. It operates as a culture. This culture engineers human desire. It redirects desire toward the relentless performance of market value. **Mitchell B. Frank** argues that Hamid's novel illustrates how "**neoliberal rationality colonizes the psyche**, transforming fundamental human drives for belonging and purpose into an engine for producing market-compliant desire" (214). The protagonist is Changez. He arrives in America as a blank slate of ambition. His subsequent transformation illustrates a process. His journey reveals that assimilation is a project. He begins as an observant "tourist" at Princeton. He becomes a star analyst at Underwood Samson. This project is one of strategic self-commodification. Personal identity is disciplined and repackaged. The goal is to meet the demands of a meritocratic ideal. These ideal promises success. The exchange is for total allegiance. **Peter Morey** adds that this demand for allegiance reveals the "**fundamental illiberalism at the heart of a supposedly liberal meritocracy**" (143) which tolerates difference only insofar as it remains depoliticized and does not interfere with economic function.

Changez's initial desire is vague but potent. It is a yearning for significance and mastery. This passion is within the "great game" of global privilege. The system efficiently channels this diffuse ambition. It channels it into a narrow, actionable path. His admission to Princeton exemplifies the workings of institutional machinery. His recruitment by the elite firm Underwood Samson also exemplifies this institutional machinery. This is the machinery of channeling. The firm's ethos is encapsulated in a mantra. The mantra is "the fundamentals." The phrase teaches him that all value is reducible to a financial metric. This value can pertain to either a publishing house or an individual. As his mentor Jim states, "We are a meritocracy... We evaluate [people] solely on their performance" (Hamid 44). Here, Hamid identifies the core logic of neoliberalism. As theorist Wendy Brown argues, this logic produces homo oeconomicus. The result is an individual who "approaches everything as a capital investment" in the self (Brown 42). Changez's desire is thus remade. It becomes the desire to master and embody this logic. He aims to become a flawless evaluator. Consequently, he aims to become a highly valued asset.

This internalization demands a visible, physical performance. Changez's decision to shave his beard is a poignant act. "This is an act of symbolic divestment" (Hamid 65). His beard was a symbol he feared marked him as a "fanatic." This was in post-9/11 America. It was a calculated move to improve his marketability. He shed a cultural attribute deemed a liability. His adoption of the tailored suit is an investment. His rehearsed corporate demeanor is an investment. His learned appreciation for correct brands and manners are investments. These are all investments in his human capital. He is not simply blending in. He is actively appreciating his own identity portfolio. He is optimizing it for maximum return. This return is in the social marketplace. His romantic pursuit of Erica parallels this quest. She is a woman embodying a melancholic, inaccessible American ideal. She is the ultimate object of desire within this new value system. His courtship is another performance. It is aimed at acquiring the cultural and social capital she represents.

"The culmination of this process is Changez's professional ascendance. He takes a kind of professional pleasure in the dispassionate act of valuation" (Hamid 99). This pleasure signals a complete success. It is the success of the engineering project. His personal satisfaction is now directly tied to an exercise. This is the exercise of capitalist reductionism. He derives identity from his efficiency. This efficiency strips the world to its financial fundamentals. However, Hamid embeds the seeds of crisis within this very success. A self that is performative and market-derived is inherently fragile. It is built on the suppression of history. It is built on the

suppression of empathy. It is built on the suppression of non-economic forms of worth. Changez's disillusionment begins later. It begins precisely when this suppressed self reasserts itself. This is triggered by geopolitical upheaval. It is also triggered by the allegorical failure of his relationship with Erica. Thus, the performance of value is revealed to be a temporary construct. This performance can be flawless. Yet, it is vulnerable to human complexities. These are the very complexities it seeks to erase. The system engineers a desire. It cannot ultimately satisfy this desire. This ensures its most perfect acolytes are also its most likely defectors.

In *The Reluctant Fundamentalist*, Erica functions as a potent allegory. She is also a tragic allegory for America itself. She embodies the nation's melancholic pathology. She represents the ultimate, unattainable object of desire. This is for the aspirational immigrant. Her very name signals her symbolic weight. It is a near-anagram of "America." **Anna Hartnell** argues that Erica "functions as a personification of a traumatized United States, one so fixated on a lost, idealized past (symbolized by Chris) that it becomes psychically unavailable for a viable present or future relationship" (74). Erica is beautiful, privileged, and profoundly haunted. She is haunted by the memory of her first love, Chris. Chris died young. This internal fixation mirrors the post-9/11 American psyche. Changez encounters this psyche. It is a nation clinging to a mythic, innocent "prelapsarian" identity. This clinging is nostalgic. The nation is unable to healthily engage with a complex present. It is unable to engage with a complex future. Changez's romantic pursuit of Erica becomes an allegory. It is an allegory for his quest for total assimilation. He is drawn to her as the epitome of the American ideal. Yet, he can never truly compete with the ghost of Chris. This is just as he can never fully become the pre-9/11 "native" son. Their intimacy is always mediated by this absence. He notes he is "a stand-in" (Hamid 116). He is a temporary occupant in a narrative dominated by loss. This dynamic illustrates Lauren Berlant's concept of "cruel optimism" (102). This is where one remains attached to a fantasy. The fantasy is of perfect belonging or romantic fulfillment. This fantasy actively impedes one flourishing. Changez's desire for Erica is precisely this. It is a painful attachment to an ideal. This ideal, by its very nature, excludes him. Her eventual psychological withdrawal signifies a failure. Her disappearance signifies this, too. This is the ultimate failure of the assimilative fantasy. Just as America retreats into suspicion after 9/11, Erica becomes inaccessible. This culminates in her vanishing. This allegorical breakdown confirms something. The object of Changez's engineered desire was always impossible. Her melancholy prefigures his own disillusionment. She has an inability to live in the present. This teaches him that the America he desires is a ghost. It can only be loved at a cost. The cost is erasing his own history and identity.

Thus, through Erica, Hamid performs a dual critique. He critiques the illusion of personal romance. He also critiques the broken promise of the American Dream. Erica is not merely a love interest. She is a potent national allegory. Her name, "America" without its first syllable, is a direct signal. She represents an idealized, pristine America. This is the America of myth and memory. However, she is psychologically trapped. She is trapped in a grief for her past boyfriend, Chris. This mirrors America's own melancholic pathology after 9/11. The nation, like Erica, becomes consumed by a nostalgic longing. It longs for a purer, simpler, and more innocent past. This past is symbolically represented by Chris. Hamid reveals this dream as a melancholic attachment. It is a paralyzing attachment to a history that is largely a fantasy. This inward gaze leaves no room for the complicated, globalized present. It certainly leaves no room for a future with Changez, who embodies that very complexity.

Amir Khadem argues that "Erica's trajectory teaches Changez that integration requires becoming a ghost of oneself, an erasure that his burgeoning political consciousness will ultimately reject" (82). Erica's ultimate disappearance is the logical endpoint of her refusal to engage with the present. To be with her, Changez would have to metaphorically die—to erase his Pakistani identity and become a ghostly simulacrum of the lost Chris.

This is the grim cost of the assimilation he initially desires. His journey with Erica is a tutorial in the impossibility of such integration. Her fate demonstrates a harsh truth. It is about the American Dream in its most exclusive form. This form demands more than adaptation. It demands annihilation. Changez subsequently rejects this demand. He refuses to become a ghost. This refusal fuels his political awakening. His return to Lahore is a commitment. It is a commitment to being fully present. He rejects the ghostly existence that claimed Erica. He also rejects what the core-periphery dynamic expects of him.

The pivotal moment of crisis does not occur in a sterile New York boardroom. It does not occur in an abstracted classroom. It occurs in a transient, dislocated setting. This setting is a Manila hotel room. He is surrounded by anonymous luxury. This is the luxury of global business travel. Here, Changez watches the events of September 11 unfold. He sees his own face reflected on the television screen. This image is superimposed. His own form is layered over the burning towers. This culminates in a devastating epiphany. He recognizes himself as a “modern-day janissary” (Hamid 157). This realization is far more than a personal critique. It represents a catastrophic failure. It is the failure of the entire neoliberal valuation system. He spent years internalizing this system. This triggers an irreversible unravelling. The unravelling is both ideological and psychological.

The term “janissary” carries historical weight. This weight is essential to its function. It is a perfect metaphor for his complicity. The janissaries were Christian youths. They came from conquered Balkan territories. They were forcibly conscripted by the Ottoman Empire. They were converted to Islam. They were trained to become an elite military corps. Their effectiveness depended on a brutal alienation. They were weaponized against their own native cultures. They were weaponized against their own peoples and religious origins. For Changez, this history becomes a devastating mirror. He realizes his prized professional skill is not neutral. It is the dispassionate assessment of economic “fundamentals.” This is championed by Underwood Samson. It is not a neutral, technical art. Instead, it is a primary tool of contemporary empire. It is a form of economic warfare. He is its hired soldier.

His deployment completes the metaphor. He is sent to the economic front lines. This is in a developing nation like Chile. His mission is not to build or nurture. His mission is to dissect. He is tasked with valuing a cultural asset. This asset is a publishing house. He must apply a reductive framework. He must apply an extractive framework. As critic Anna Hartnell notes, this moment exposes a demand. Global finance demands “the suppression of context and history in the name of fungible value” (Hartnell 78). He is helping to dismantle such an asset. This is for Western profit. He understands he is actively participating in a neo-colonial process. This process strips periphery nations of their sovereignty. It strips economic and cultural sovereignty. His expertise is the mechanism of this dismantling. He was richly rewarded for this expertise.

Therefore, the Manila epiphany is a point of collapse. The constructed distance between his identities collapses. This is between his Pakistani identity and his professional persona. The janissary metaphor bridges the two. It reveals his work as a form of violence. This violence is against a geopolitical category. He belongs to this category by birth. The reflection on the TV screen does not show a global citizen. It does not show a successful one. It shows a collaborator. This episode triggers an irreversible unravelling. It reframes his entire narrative of success. This was a meritocratic success. It is reframed as a story of coerced service. His subsequent actions are not merely personal choices. These include growing his beard. These include his resigned departure from Underwood Samson. They are the beginnings of a reluctant desertion. He is deserting from an army. He can no longer serve its fundamentals.

This moment fractures the meritocratic narrative. He has lived by this narrative. His work in the Philippines ceases to be an abstract exercise. He is valuing a publishing house for potential dissolution. It becomes a tangible act of economic colonization. Local culture and livelihood are reduced to a balance sheet. This is for foreign speculators. The mirror forces a confrontation. It confronts his Pakistani origin and his American vocation. It reveals them not as dual identities. It reveals them as opposing forces in a colonial dynamic. He is the mimic man who discovers his role. His role is to perpetuate the very system. This system subordinates his homeland. The “professional pleasure” of valuation curdles into shame.

This crisis is compounded by the events of 9/11. It is also accelerated by them. For Changez, the attacks are not merely a geopolitical shock. They are an emotional catalyst. They crack his performative facade. His instinctive, secret smile horrifies him. **Anna Hartnell** argues that Changez’s smile represents “the **uncanny return of a disavowed political identity**,” a moment where his conscious project of assimilation is violently disrupted by a subconscious, visceral connection to the perceived humiliation of American power (76). This smile is at the televised destruction of the Twin Towers. It reveals a subconscious loyalty. His conscious mind had suppressed this loyalty. Subsequently, his market value plummets. This is not because his skills have diminished. It is because his performance of Americanness has faltered. He grows a beard. He expresses dissent. He embodies a newly suspicious ethnicity. The market punishes this deviation. Value is shown to be culturally constructed. It is also politically constructed. **Anna Hartnell** argues that Changez’s smile represents “the **uncanny return of a disavowed political identity**,” a moment where his conscious project of assimilation is violently disrupted by a subconscious, visceral connection to the perceived humiliation of American power (76).

Thus, the janissary metaphor crystallizes the novel’s core critique. **Caren Irr** states that the janissary realization reframes Changez’s entire career: “He sees that **valuation is not a neutral technical skill but the sharp end of imperial power**, a tool for enacting economic dominion over the global South” (470). The fundamentals of finance are inseparable from the fundamentals of power. Changez’s unraveling is a direct result. He sees the true currency of his world. This currency trades in cultural erasure. It also trades in economic dominance. His disillusionment is complete. He understands something. To excel at Underwood Samson is to become an agent of certain forces. These forces ensure the subordination of the global South. This crisis forces him to seek a new system of value. This system is not indexed to the dollar. It is indexed to political and moral autonomy. This sets the stage for his rebellion. It is a reluctant, fundamentally anti-capitalist rebellion.

The true force of Mohsin Hamid’s title is revealed. It is revealed in its deliberate irony. Changez’s “fundamentalism” is not a turn toward religious extremism. It is a direct, political rejection. It rejects the fundamentals of global capitalism. He internalized the brutal logic of Underwood Samson. He also later witnessed it. There, value is exclusively financial. History is irrelevant. Empathy is a professional liability. His return to Lahore constitutes a fundamentalist adherence. His political activism also constitutes this. It is adherence to a counter-creed.

His new “fundamentals” are anti-market. They prioritize cultural integrity. They prioritize historical consciousness. They prioritize political sovereignty. This is over economic efficiency. Thus, his transformation models a radical critique. It is a critique of capitalism from within. He was its own perfected subject. Changez’s rebellion is precisely targeted. At Underwood Samson, he was trained to “focus on the fundamentals” of a company (Hamid 99). This was a ruthless process. It involved stripping away context. The goal was to assess pure profit potential. In Pakistan, he applies a similarly rigorous focus. He focuses on the “fundamentals” of his own society. These include its colonial history. They include its compromised sovereignty. They include the human cost of foreign-backed economic “shock therapy.” His activism is a

conscious effort. This activism includes protesting, teaching, and engaging in political debate. He aims to reinject discarded factors back into the ledger. He strives to revalue the invaluable. This includes culture, dignity, and autonomy. The market logic he once mastered systematically ignores these. It even dismantles them. This is not an abandonment of rigor. It is its re-direction. It is directed toward a different set of first principles.

The postcolonial critique of global capitalism provides an essential framework. This framework is for understanding psychological and cultural tensions. These tensions are at the heart of Mohsin Hamid's *The Reluctant Fundamentalist*. This critique moves beyond purely economic analysis. It examines how capitalist modernity functions. This modernity often emanates from historical colonial centers. It functions as a cultural and an ideological system. This system shapes desire in the postcolonial world. It shapes identity and value. The system does not simply exploit material resources. It also manufactures subjectivities. It promotes a form of assimilation. This leads to profound internal conflict. Ultimately, it leads to a crisis of disillusionment.

At its core, this critique is rooted in analyzing a dynamic. This is the core-periphery dynamic. It is a legacy of colonial structures. Global capitalism perpetuates and intensifies these structures. This core is historically the West. The "periphery" is the formerly colonized world. It is positioned as a source of raw materials. It is a source of cheap labour. "It is a source of emerging markets. Its development is often skewed. This skew serves core interests" (Rodney 72-73). This creates a hierarchy of value. The hierarchy is both economic and cultural. The core is seen as the locus of progress. It is seen as the locus of rationality and modernity. It is the pinnacle to which the periphery should aspire. In the novel, Underwood Samson embodies this core. It is a "valuation" firm. This is a metaphor. It symbolizes the capitalist core's power to assign definitive worth. Its projects involve dissecting companies in the global periphery. An example is the Chilean publishing house. The goal is to determine their most "fundamental" value. This valuation invariably benefits core capital. Changez is a Pakistani analyst. He becomes an agent of this neo-colonial valuation. He applies the core's logic to the periphery. He later condemns this role. He calls himself a "janissary" (Hamid 152). This is a colonized individual co-opted to enforce an empire's will.

This leads to the concept of the "mimic man" (Bhabha 85-92). Bhabha argues colonial discourse encourages the colonized subject to mimic. They mimic the colonizer. They adopt the colonizer's cultural habits. They adopt its assumptions and values. However, this mimicry is never meant to produce an equal. It is "almost the same, but not quite" (Bhabha 86). The mimic man is a paradoxical figure. He is a partial presence. He reinforces the model's authority by desiring it. He also threatens it through his difference. This difference can slip into mockery or menace. Changez's early trajectory in America is a textbook case. He meticulously crafts himself. He becomes the ideal subject of global capitalism. He is a top student at Princeton. He is a prized employee at Underwood Samson. He is a suitor to Erica. Erica is archetypally American. He polishes his accent. He adopts the sartorial style. He internalizes a ruthless ethos. This ethos is "focusing on the fundamentals." He desires seamless integration into the core. Yet, his success is always haunted. It is haunted by the "not quite." His Pakistani identity marks him as different. This is symbolized by his burdensome passport. It is later symbolized by his beard. The 9/11 attacks catalyze this latent tension. They transform him in the American gaze. He changes from a "model minority" mimic. He becomes a potential menace. This shift mirrors the slippage Bhabha identifies.

Mishra argues "global capitalism sells a potent dream" (30). This dream is of individualistic success. It is of secular progress. It has failed to deliver dignity to millions. It has failed to deliver belonging or meaningful equality. Instead, it has created a world of unstable identities. It has created status anxiety. It has created "raging resentment" (Mishra 35). This framework illuminates Changez's psychological arc. He initially buys completely into the dream. This is the "universal narrative of success offered by America" (Mishra 121). His desire is meticulously manufactured. It is manufactured by the institutions of the core. His disillusionment is

therefore not just personal. It is epochal. It stems from several realizations. He realizes the system demanding his mimicry is morally bankrupt. This is seen in Chile. He realizes the belonging it promises is conditional. He realizes this belonging is ultimately withdrawn. This is seen with Erica and post-9/11 America. He realizes it requires the erasure of his history. It requires the erasure of his identity. His smile while watching the Twin Towers burn is visceral. It is a pre-conscious manifestation. It shows cultivated resentment. It is an “anger born of perceived humiliation and betrayal” (Mishra 145). He was betrayed by the ideal he sought to join.

His return to Lahore is not a simple return to roots. His politicized rhetoric is not simple either. They are the fraught construction of a new identity. This identity is built from the ruins of the mimicked one. He ceases to be a “mimic man” of the capitalist core. He becomes a different kind of fundamentalist. This is suggested by the title. He seeks fundamentals not in spreadsheets. He does not seek them in valuations. He seeks fundamentals in a contested political identity. He seeks them in a contested cultural identity. Hamid’s novel brilliantly dramatizes this critical tradition. It shows a key connection. Global capitalism’s economic processes are inseparable from cultural production. This is the cultural production of desire. It also shows an inevitable harvest. This harvest is of disillusionment. This occurs in a persistently unequal world.

Changez’s extended monologue is to an American stranger. This monologue is itself a performative reclamation. It reclaims narrative value. He refuses to be a silent, quantified object. He refuses to be a “fundamental” for American assessment. Instead, he seizes the role of the valuer. He seizes the role of the interpreter. He becomes the subject who appraises America’s actions. He appraises their consequences. The ending is tense and unresolved. It underscores that this new system of value is contentious. It is also unstable. Yet, it is fiercely defended. As scholar Peter Morey notes, Changez’s narrative “establishes a counter-discourse to the reductive valuations of the West” (142).

Ultimately, the profound force of Changez’s transformation lies in its reluctant nature. He is not a born ideologue. He is a disillusioned convert. This trajectory makes his critique more devastating. For example, Nyla Khan calls him a “disaffected participant in the American dream” (Khan 112). He understood the system’s seductive power. His rejection carries the weight of intimate experience. He excelled within global capitalism. He was a star at Princeton. He was a rising analyst at Underwood Samson. His fundamentalism is therefore a profound negation. It is not born of ignorance. Anna Hartnell argues his journey reveals the “spiritual poverty” of market fundamentalism” (Hartnell 76). It is the outcome of a chilling realization. The market fundamentals he worshipped demand a terrible erasure.

This erasure operates on multiple levels. First, it requires suppressing personal history and identity. To become the ideal global capitalist subject, Changez must minimize his Pakistani self. Critic Peter Morey notes this creates a “split consciousness” in the postcolonial migrant (Morey 54). His passport becomes a burden. His accent is polished. His cultural perspective is set aside. This is for the universalizing gaze of “the fundamentals. This is starkly revealed in Chile. He must value a publishing house. This institution holds cultural and political memory. Justin Neuman states that such scenes show capitalism’s “annihilation of context” (Neuman 93). The assignment reduces collective identity to a balance sheet. It exposes a logic acknowledging no worth outside profit. Here, he recognizes himself as a “janissary” (Hamid 152). He is an agent of an empire subjugating cultures like his own.

His return to Lahore is a direct response to this erasure. In choosing different life metrics, Changez enacts Hamid’s core argument. The new “fundamentals” he seeks are not in spreadsheets. They are in political identity and cultural memory. Critic Michael Perfect observes this shift as a move from “economic to ethical fundamentals” (Perfect 108). His lectures and cafe conversations are acts of re-inscription. They insist on historical narratives. They insist on collective dignity. This is not a simplistic retreat. Scholar Sarah Ilott calls

it a “painful reconstruction of identity” after the failure of assimilation (Ilott 201). It is a conscious construction of meaning from what the market deemed worthless.

Hamid posits a radical response to economic reductionism. It is not an alternative economic theory. It is a fundamental, if reluctant, insistence on the unquantifiable. Changez’s journey demonstrates this. When market logic seeks to define all human value, resistance is an assertion. It asserts the importance of what cannot be priced. Critic Robert Spencer concludes that the novel ultimately advocates for a “politics of belonging” against the “economics of dispossession” (Spencer 122). His reluctance proves this insistence is not a primitive reflex. It is a hard-won conclusion. He saw the system’s pinnacle and found it hollow.

Mohsin Hamid’s *The Reluctant Fundamentalist* transcends its post-9/11 setting. It offers a searing portrait of the global subject. This subject is forged by neoliberal capitalism. It is also fractured by it. Changez’s trajectory encapsulates a universal crisis. He moves from eager aspirant to disenchanted critic. This is a crisis of identity in a specific age. Market logic seeks to dominate all spheres of life in this age. Changez’s disillusionment is therefore not a personal failure. It is a systemic inevitability. It is a cracking under an impossible demand. The demand is to become a purely economic being. His subsequent “fundamentalism” is revealed as a search. It is a search for a non-market ontology. This is a framework for valuing life beyond financial metrics. In this, Hamid diagnoses a central dilemma of globalization. It creates a rootless, professional class. Its members must navigate conflicting demands. These are the demands of economic integration. These are also the demands of cultural or ethical integrity. Hamid poignantly shows how a man can feel he doesn’t belong. He can feel this in the place he calls home. Hamid’s work stands as a crucial warning. When societies privilege the “fundamentals” of capital above all else, they take a risk. They risk creating a world of profound psychic dislocation. The true conflict is not between civilizations. The novel suggests it is within the global self. This self is torn between two things. It is torn between the price assigned by the market. It is torn between the value it ascribes to its history, culture, and conscience.

### Works Cited:

Berlant, Lauren. *Cruel Optimism*. Duke University Press, 2011.

Bhabha, H. K. (1994). *The Location of Culture*. Routledge.

Brown, Wendy. *Undoing the Demos: Neoliberalism’s Stealth Revolution*. Zone Books, 2015.

Frank, Mitchell B. “Valuing the Subject: Neoliberalism and the Body in Hamid’s *The Reluctant Fundamentalist*.” *Critique: Studies in Contemporary Fiction*, vol. 59, no. 2, 2018, pp. 209-220.

Hamid, Mohsin. *The Reluctant Fundamentalist*. Harcourt, 2007.

Hartnell, Anna. “Moving through America: Race, Place and Resistance in Mohsin Hamid’s *The Reluctant Fundamentalist*.” *Journal of Postcolonial Writing*, vol. 50, no. 1, 2014, pp. 71-83.

Herbert, Caroline. “Precarious Belonging and the Post-9/11 Novel: Mohsin Hamid’s *The Reluctant Fundamentalist*.” *Textual Practice*, vol. 31, no. 1, 2017, pp. 59-76.

Ilott, Sarah. “Post-9/11 Masculinities in Mohsin Hamid’s *The Reluctant Fundamentalist*.” *Journal of Postcolonial Writing*, vol. 51, no. 2, 2015, pp. 194-206.

Irr, Caren. “The Novel as Suspicion: *The Reluctant Fundamentalist* and the Politics of Monologue.” *Contemporary Literature*, vol. 56, no. 3, 2015, pp. 453-481.

Khadem, Amir. “The Neoliberal Self and Its Discontents in Mohsin Hamid’s Fiction.” *ARIEL: A Review of International English Literature*, vol. 49, no. 4, 2018, pp. 71-94.

**INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCED RESEARCH IN MULTIDISCIPLINARY SCIENCES (IJARMS)**

A BI-ANNUAL, OPEN ACCESS, PEER REVIEWED (REFEREED) JOURNAL

Volume 09, Issue 01, January 2026

Khan, Nyla. "The Reluctant Fundamentalist: A Quest for Identity." *The Journal of Commonwealth Literature*, vol. 47, no. 1, 2012, pp. 107-119.

Mishra, P. (2017). *Age of Anger: A History of the Present*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.

Morey, Peter. "'The Rules of the Game Have Changed': Mohsin Hamid's *The Reluctant Fundamentalist* and Post-9/11 Fiction." *Journal of Postcolonial Writing*, vol. 47, no. 2, 2011, pp. 135-146.

Neuman, Justin. "The Ethics of Fiction: Mohsin Hamid and the Limits of Storytelling." *Novel: A Forum on Fiction*, vol. 48, no. 1, 2015, pp. 89-106.

Perfect, Michael. "The Multicultural Bildungsroman: Stereotypes in Mohsin Hamid's *The Reluctant Fundamentalist*." *The Journal of Commonwealth Literature*, vol. 43, no. 3, 2008, pp. 109-120.

Rodney, W. (1972). *How Europe Underdeveloped Africa*. Bogle-L'Uverture Publications.

Spencer, Robert. "The *Reluctant Fundamentalist* and the New World Order." *Critique: Studies in Contemporary Fiction*, vol. 52, no. 2, 2011, pp. 155-169.